

[<Back to Questions>](#)

11. What other forms of harmonic treatment are available for VFDs?

There are various methods presently available for treatment of VFD harmonics. Each has its advantages and disadvantages but none can achieve the price/performance level of the LINEATOR™.

Reactors and chokes are a relatively low cost solution but are only moderately effective and their high impedance can introduce trouble-some voltage drops.

Conventional tuned or trap filters, as their name implies, require tuning to a specific harmonic frequency. Their effectiveness is marginal unless multiple tuned elements are incorporated. Also, they are prone to problems such as resonance with other system components, importation of harmonics from upstream non-linear loads and a leading power factor.

By treating a wider spectrum of harmonics, broad-band filters are more effective than tuned filters but can also be more expensive. Although they address some of the issues associated with tuned filters, they are not trouble-free. Specifically, their large series inductor necessitates the use of a large capacitor bank to compensate for the voltage drop. These capacitors create a leading power factor which has been known to cause excitation control problems with generators.

| | REACTOR | TUNED FILTER | LOW-PASS FILTER | MULTI PULSED | PHASE SHIFTING | ACTIVE FILTER | LINEATOR AUHF |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Current Distortion | < 35% | < 15% | < 12% | < 12% | < 15% | < 5% | < 8% |
| Effective without Multiple Loads | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Meets IEEE 519 | Rarely | Maybe | Maybe | Maybe | Maybe | Yes | Yes |
| Attracting Upstream Harmonics | No | Yes | No | No | No | No | No |
| Engine Generator Compatibility | Partial | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Inherent Transient Suppression | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | No | Yes |
| Efficiency | High | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate | Moderate to High | Low | High |
| Reduction in TIF Factor | Moderate | Moderate | High | Moderate | Moderate | High | High |
| Physical Size | Small | Large | Large | Very Large | Moderate to Large | Very Large | Moderate |
| Connection | Series | Parallel | Series | Series | Series | Parallel | Series |
| Price | Low | Moderate to High | High | High | Low to moderate | Very High | Moderate |

Figure 11-1: Comparison Table of Various Forms of Harmonic Treatment for VFD's

In multi-pulsed systems, the drive manufacturer will phase shift between multiple front-end rectifiers to cancel harmonics. Some 18 and 24 pulsed systems can achieve Total Harmonic Current Distortion (THID) of < 8%, but they require a larger footprint and can become quite expensive.

Phase shifting transformers can be a very cost effective method of harmonic treatment but require multiple 6-pulse rectifier loads operating simultaneously. A quasi 12-pulse scheme (ie. cancellation of 5th & 7th harmonics) can be created by phase shifting one VFD against a second similar VFD. 18 and 24 pulse schemes require three and four VFD's respectively.

Active filters treat harmonics by measuring the level of harmonic current present in the system and injecting currents of opposite polarity to cancel them. Excellent performance can be achieved but reliability is sometimes an issue and their high cost normally makes their use prohibitive.

Table 11-1 provides a comparison of the various forms of VFD harmonic treatment for different parameters.